

MATH 54 – HINTS TO HOMEWORK 10

PEYAM TABRIZIAN

Here are a couple of hints to Homework 10! Enjoy :)

SECTION 6.1: INNER PRODUCTS, LENGTHS, AND ORTHOGONALITY

6.1.9. Calculate $\frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}$

6.1.13. Calculate $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$

6.1.15. Check if $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$ or not

6.1.19.

(a) **T**

(b) **T**

(c) **T** (either look on page 319, or do it directly: you're given that $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|$, Now square this to get $(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$, expand this out and cancel out terms until you eventually get $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$)

(d) **F** (those two concepts are unrelated! For example, consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

then $Col(A) = Span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, while $Nul(A) = Span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. Then,

for example $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not orthogonal to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. However, what is true is that $Nul(A)$

is perpendicular to $Row(A)$

(e) **T** (Remember W^\perp is the set of vectors orthogonal to W . Now if \mathbf{x} is orthogonal to each \mathbf{v}_j , then \mathbf{x} is orthogonal to W because the \mathbf{v}_j span W)

6.1.20.

(a) **T** ($\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$)

(b) **F** (Take $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $c = -1$)

(c) **T** (by definition of W^\perp)

(d) **T** (expand the right-hand-side out and cancel some terms)

(e) **T** (See theorem 3 on page 321. Don't worry too much about that for the exam)

6.1.22. $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ only if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$

Date: Tuesday, July 31st, 2012.

6.1.24. Use the fact that $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ and expand this out! Similar for the other term! Also, use the fact that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and similar for the other term!

6.1.27. Calculate $\mathbf{y} \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$

SECTION 6.2: ORTHOGONAL SETS

Remember: A set \mathcal{B} is orthogonal if for every pair of distinct vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. It is orthonormal if it is orthogonal and every vector has length 1. An orthogonal set can be made orthonormal by dividing every vector by its length.

6.2.1, 6.2.3. Show that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ (or not), $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ (or not) and $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ (or not)

6.2.7. Show $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = 0$, Then use the fact that if $\mathbf{x} = a\mathbf{u}_1 + b\mathbf{u}_2$, then $a = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1}{\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1}$, and $b = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2}{\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2}$

6.2.9. Similar to 6.2.7

6.2.11, 6.2.15. The formula for orthogonal projection of \mathbf{y} on the line spanned by \mathbf{u} is:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \right) \mathbf{u}$$

The distance between \mathbf{u} and L is then $\|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|$

6.2.17, 6.2.19. Similar to 6.2.1, 6.2.3. In addition, you have to verify that each vector has length 1. If it doesn't, calculate $\frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}$

6.2.23.

- (a) **T** (Consider $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. It is linearly independent, but not orthogonal)
- (b) **T** (just use dot products / hugging)
- (c) **F**
- (d) **T**
- (e) **F** (it's $\|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|$)

6.2.24.

- (a) **T** (it could contain the $\mathbf{0}$ vector and still be orthogonal, but if you ignore this, it is **F**)
- (b) **F** (the length of each vector has to be = 1)
- (c) **T** ($\|A\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$)
- (d) **T** (This is because $\left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \right) \mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot c\mathbf{v}}{c\mathbf{v} \cdot c\mathbf{v}} \right) c\mathbf{v}$)
- (e) **T** (it has determinant ± 1)

6.2.29. First of all, UV is invertible because $\det(UV) = \det(U)\det(V) = (\pm 1)(\pm 1) = \pm 1 \neq 0$, also $(UV)^{-1} = V^{-1}U^{-1} = V^T U^T = (UV)^T$

SECTION 6.3: ORTHOGONAL PROJECTION

Here are all the basic facts that you'll need:

- (1) If $W = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$, then the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{y} onto W is:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1}{\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1} \right) \mathbf{u}_1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2}{\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2} \right) \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{u}_k}{\mathbf{u}_k \cdot \mathbf{u}_k} \right) \mathbf{u}_k$$

- (2) Then $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is in W , $\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is in W^\perp (that is, orthogonal to W).
 (3) $\mathbf{y} = (\hat{\mathbf{y}}) + (\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}})$, which decomposes \mathbf{y} as a sum of two vectors, one in W and the other one orthogonal to W .
 (4) $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is the closest point to \mathbf{y} in W .
 (5) $\|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|$ is the smallest distance between \mathbf{y} and W .

6.3.1. $\mathbf{x} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} + (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}})$, where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is the projection on $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$. Use the formula above!

6.3.3, 6.3.5, 6.3.7, 6.3.18(b). $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1}{\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1} \right) \mathbf{u}_1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2}{\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2} \right) \mathbf{u}_2$. For 6.3.7, they mean $\mathbf{y} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} + (\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}})$, and for 6.3.11, they mean $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$

6.3.21.

- (a) **T**
 (b) **T**
 (c) **F** (see the sentence after the end of the proof on page 336)
 (d) **T**
 (e) **T**

6.3.22.

- (a) **T**(if \mathbf{v} is in W^\perp , then $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ for every \mathbf{w} in W . But if \mathbf{v} is also in W , then we can let $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}$, so $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, but then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$)
 (b) **T**(It's the sum of the orthogonal projections on $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1\}$, $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_2\}$, etc., see page 339)
 (c) **T**(by uniqueness of such a decomposition)
 (d) **T**
 (e) **F** (It's $U^T U \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$)

SECTION 6.4: THE GRAM-SCHMIDT PROCESS

Gram-Schmidt process: Let's say you want to find an orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ from $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$

First let $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1$ and cross out \mathbf{u}_1 from your list!

Then calculate $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_2 = \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1$.

Then let $\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{u}_2 - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_2$, and cross out \mathbf{u}_2 from your list!

If you're given only 2 vectors, you're done, otherwise calculate:

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_3 = \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \right) \mathbf{v}_2$$

Then let $\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{u}_3 - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_3$, and cross out \mathbf{u}_3 from your list!

If you're given only 3 vectors, you're done, otherwise repeat this process until you run out of vectors in your list!

To get an *orthonormal* basis, just divide every vector at the end by its length. At every step, it's helpful to multiply your vector by a scalar to avoid fractions. This is ok, because you'll normalize them at the end anyway!

6.4.9, 6.4.11. What they mean is apply Gram-Schmidt to $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$, similar

with 6.4.11

6.4.17.

- (a) **F** (c has to be nonzero, otherwise the set won't be linearly independent; other than that, the statement is true)
- (b) **T** (that's the point of the G-S process!)
- (c) **T** (Multiply the equation $A = QR$ by Q^T , and you get $Q^T A = Q^T QR = IR = R$, so $R = Q^T A$)

6.4.18.

- (a) **T** (I'm assuming $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ are obtained using the G-S process)
- (b) **T** (In other words, if $\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0$, then \mathbf{x} is in W)
- (c) Ignore (but it's T, and that's because you obtain Q by applying the G-S process to the columns of A)